

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,792	02/24/2004	Robert G. Turcott	A04P3001-US1	3760	
24473 7590 03/19/2007 STEVEN M MITCHELL PACESETTER INC			EXAMINER		
			HOLMES, REX R		
701 EAST EVEL SUNNYVALE, O			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
501111 VIIID, 011 94000			3762		
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/19/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Asticus Occurrence	10/786,792	TURCOTT, ROBERT G.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rex Holmes	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state and period for reply will, by state and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status .					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	December 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.	·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in a	Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	t received.			
•	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •			

Application/Control Number: 10/786,792 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-9, 14-18 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bornzin (U.S. Pat. 5,891,176).
- 4. Regarding claims 1 and 14, Bornzin discloses monitoring the patients heart rate (Col. 7, II. 5-10), determining correct pacing interval for each of a plurality of different heart rates (Col. 8, II. 33-38), storing the information and hemodynamic response, determining a preferred pacing interval based on the stored information automatically without human interaction (Col. 4, II. 21-30).
- 5. Regarding claim 2 and 15, the optimal pacing regime differs based on activity and the parameters are continually changed based on the performance volume which is derived from the heart rate (e.g. Col. 8, II. 45-50).
- 6. Regarding claims 3 and 16, the optimization routine can be done every hour or every day (e.g. Col. 8, II. 56-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,792 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

7. Regarding claims 4 and 17, information is stored for each range (e.g. Col. 9, II. 57-65).

- 8. Regarding claims 5 and 18, parameters are updated and fine tuned to achieve hemodynamically optimal performance (e.g. Col. 6, II. 60-67).
- 9. Regarding claims 8 and 21, Bornzin discloses that each time the performance surface occurs it is updated and this does not have to occur continuously (e.g. Col. 4, II. 21-30).
- 10. Regarding claims 9 and 22, Bornzin discloses that the stored values are relative to measures corresponding to hemodynamic performance (e.g. Col. 4, II. 21-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 6-7 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bornzin as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Salo et al. (U.S. Pub. 2001/0031993 hereinafter "Salo").
- 13. Bornzin discloses that claimed subject matter as disclosed in detail above, but Bornzin fails to disclose that a plurality of relative measures for each pacing interval are stored. However, Salo discloses that the hemodynamic data is stored in an array and is used to arrive at a particular pacing mode configurations yielding optimum hemodynamic performances (e.g. ¶¶ 60, 90).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,792

Art Unit: 3762

- 14. Claims 10-13 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bornzin as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Carlson (U.S. Pat. 6,366,811).
- 15. Bornzin discloses a hemodynamically optimal pacing system as described in detail above, and further discloses that it utilizes an accelerometer and hemodynamic data to determine motion (Col. 7, II. 52-55), but Bornzin does not specifically disclose that when significant motion is present that it either stops pacing and/or storing information that correlates to the pacing. However, Carlson discloses a pacemaker with a hemodynamic pulse pressure sensor that utilizes an accelerometer and threshold values to determine motion so that measurements can be taken during relative steady states of hemodynamic conditions to minimize motion artifacts (Carlson, Col. 3, II. 4-12 & Col. 7, II. 17-21). Regarding claims 10-13 and 23-26, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Bornzin with the motion sensing techniques of Carlson in order to minimize motion artifacts in the signal.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rex Holmes whose telephone number is 571-272-8827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,792

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rex Holmes Examiner

Art Unit 3762

George Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

2/15/7